Nested Temporal Model (NTM): A Three-Tiered Framework for Understanding Time

Author: Rashida Lovely
Date: 11/30/2025

1. Introduction

Time has long been a subject of scientific inquiry, yet its nature remains both mysterious and foundational. Classical physics treats time as an absolute backdrop, while relativity demonstrates that time is local and observer-dependent. Recent relational interpretations of quantum mechanics suggest that time emerges from interactions between systems.

The Nested Temporal Model (NTM) integrates these perspectives, proposing a **three-tiered structure of time**:

1. **Relative / Local Time** (T_r) — dependent on individual observers and local physical processes
2. **Relational / Micro Time** (T_{rel}) — emerges from causal interactions between systems
3. **Absolute / Macro Time** (T_a) — anchored to cosmological parameters, weighted by local structures

This model provides a framework for reconciling observer-dependent clocks, relational causal networks, and global temporal coherence.

2. Literature & Context

Relative Time (T_r)

- Einstein's Special and General Relativity demonstrate that time is not universal.
- Observers in motion or varying gravitational fields experience **different local durations**.

Relational Time (T_{rel})

- Rovelli's Relational Quantum Mechanics posits that facts exist only relative to observers.
- Causal Set Theory (Sorkin) formalizes time as a partially ordered network of events.
- Distributed computing analogues (Lamport clocks, vector clocks) handle observer-dependent event ordering.

Absolute / Macro Time (T_a)

- Cosmological time is naturally measured via the universe's **scale factor** a(t)and **expansion rate** H(t).
- Macro-level temporal ordering emerges from these parameters, potentially weighted by local mass-energy distribution.

Innovation: Existing frameworks treat these layers separately. NTM **unifies relative, relational, and absolute time** in a coherent, nested structure.

3. Formal Definitions

3.1 Relative / Local Time (T_r)

$$\Delta t' = \frac{\Delta t}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}$$

- Local measurement of time varies with velocity v and gravitational potential.

3.2 Relational / Micro Time (T_{rel})

Must quantify temporal coherence between systems i and j:

$$C_{rel}(i, j) = f(\text{interaction}_{i,j}, \text{distance}_{i,j}, \text{environment}_{i,j})$$

- Provides ordering for events affecting multiple systems.

3.3 Absolute / Macro Time (T_a)

Weighted absolute time based on cosmology:

$$T_a = \int_{t_0}^{t} w(t') \frac{da(t')}{H(t')a(t')}$$

Where:

- a(t) = scale factor
- $H(t) = \dot{a}/a$ = Hubble parameter
- t_0 = reference cosmic time (e.g., Big Bang)
- $w(t') = 1 + \frac{\rho_{\text{local}}(t')}{\bar{\rho}(t')}$ = weighting for local mass-energy

4. Illustrative Example: Solar System Model

The solar system provides a tangible way to visualize nested time:

1. **Local Time** (T_r)
    - Each planet experiences its own orbital period, rotation, and gravitational time dilation.
    - Mercury’s day differs from Jupiter’s; each planet has a distinct local clock.
2. **Relational Time** (T_{rel})
    - Planets interact gravitationally.
    - Events such as planetary alignments, eclipses, and comet passages are **ordered relationally** across the solar system, independent of each planet’s local clock.
3. **Absolute Time** (T_a)
    - All planetary motions exist within the larger cosmological frame defined by the universe’s expansion.
    - The absolute ordering of solar system events can be mapped to **cosmological time**, incorporating large-scale structure and scale factor

weighting.

4. **Table**

Expanded Solar System Example (Visual / Table Narrative)

We can create a table mapping local, relational, and absolute time for each planet:

Planet	Local Time (T_r)	Relational Time (T_{rel})	Absolute Time (T_a)
Mercury	**58.6 Earth days (rotation)**	**Alignments, conjunctions with Venus and Earth**	**Cosmic-scale timestamp mapped to scale factor a(t)a(t)a(t)**
Venus	**243 Earth days (rotation)**	**Interaction network of gravitational perturbations**	**Cosmic-scale timestamp weighted by local solar system mass-energy**
Earth	**24 hours (rotation)**	**Moon phases, eclipses, planetary conjunctions**	**Same as above**
Mars	**24.6 hours**	**Orbit-relative conjunctions**	**Same as above**
Jupiter	**9.9 hours**	**Interaction with moons, planetary resonance network**	**Same as above**
Saturn	**10.7 hours**	**Ring-moon interactions**	**Same as above**
Uranus	**17.2 hours**	**Tilted rotational interactions**	**Same as above**

Neptune	**16.1 hours**	**Gravitational effects on outer solar system**	**Same as above**
Pluto	**153 hours**	**Dwarf planet resonance interactions**	**Same as above**

Insight: Even though each planet's local time differs, relational interactions and absolute time provide a **coherent global ordering**.

5. Operationalization

- **Local Time** (T_r): measured with individual clocks and sensors.
- **Relational Time** (T_{rel}): determined via **coexistence coefficients** in networks of interacting systems.
- **Absolute Time** (T_a): derived from cosmological parameters and weighted local structures.

Example: In a distributed sensor network, local clocks (T_r) may disagree. Relational coherence (T_{rel}) aligns events within the network. Weighted absolute time (T_a) maps all events onto a universal timeline.

6. Conceptual Diagram (Descriptive)

1. **Innermost Layer:** Local clocks (T_r)
2. **Middle Layer:** Networked interactions (T_{rel})
3. **Outermost Layer:** Global cosmological time (T_a)

The solar system example can be overlaid here: each planet as a local clock, interactions forming relational links, all embedded in the universal expansion timeline.

7. Significance

- Reconciles **relative, relational, and absolute views of time**.
- Offers a framework for **temporal consistency in distributed systems, sensor networks, and multi-agent environments**.
- Provides a new lens for studying **causality, simultaneity, and temporal emergence** in physics and computation.
- Bridges the gap between **observable phenomena and macrocosmic ordering**, opening paths for experimental validation.

8. Conclusion

The Nested Temporal Model provides a **coherent, testable, and conceptually elegant framework** for understanding time across scales. By integrating local, relational, and cosmological perspectives, it unites existing physical theories while introducing a novel global temporal anchor.

References

Einstein, A. (1905, 1916) — Special and General Relativity

Rovelli, C. (1996) — *Relational Quantum Mechanics*

Sorkin, R. (2003) — *Causal Set Theory*

Lamport, L. (1978) — *Time, Clocks, and the Ordering of Events*

Tegmark, M. (2008) — *The Mathematical Universe*

Barbour, J. (1999) — *The End of Time*